Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,005	AJIT, JANARDHANAN S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan T. Lam	2816
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Tuan T. Lam</u> .	(3)	
(2) attorney Jun Jeong (Reg. no. 43,693).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant's representative agreed to amend figure 17 and claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 11 in order to comply with the 35USC 112, second paragraph and to place the application in a condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
TUANT. LAM PRIMARY EXAMINER		
1 I How were and a second		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)